MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

SPEECH PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY

Examining Script Vocabulary in the Narratives of Preschoolers Who Speak African American English (AAE) Jaclyn Cain & Maura Moyle

Introduction

African American English

- African American English (AAE) is the language system spoken by many, but not all, African Americans, as well as those who are not African American (Bland-Stewart, 2005).
- Currently, children who speak African American English (AAE) are over-referred for special education services, in part due to a lack of appropriate assessments and unfamiliarity with AAE by SLPs (Hendriks & Adlof, 2018; Latimer-Hearn, 2020).

Language Assessment

- Accurately assessing children who speak nonmainstream English dialects, such as AAE, can be challenging for speechlanguage pathologists (SLPs) (Hamilton, 2020; Johnson & Koonce, 2018).
- Language samples are less biased assessments of language; however, language sample transcription can be time intensive and requires skill and practice. In addition, SLPs need extensive knowledge of AAE features in order to determine which aspects of a child's language output are developmentally appropriate or disordered. (Johnson & Koonce, 2018).

Vocabulary Development & Script Vocabulary

- Vocabulary development is essential for children's ability to produce oral and written narratives that are appropriate for their age and intended purpose (e.g., telling stories, writing academic papers) (Mills, Mahurin-Smith, & Steele, 2017).
- Script Vocabulary are words that are included in the examiner's script for *Frog*, *Where Are* You? and considered essential to the story (e.g., boy, frog, jar, deer).

Purpose

• The purpose of this study was to evaluate the potential of a script vocabulary measure as a time efficient, dialect-neutral language screener for AAE-speaking preschoolers.

Research Questions

Participants

Table 1. Participant characteristics, assessment scores, narrative characteristics: means (standard deviations)

Age (months) Script Vocabu Narrative Sco Mean Length Number of Di **Dialect Densi** Peabody Picto Edition^a (PPV Clinical Evalu Fundamental

^aMean=100, Standard Deviation=15

Methods

- 1969).

Department of Speech Pathology & Audiology, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

For AAE-speaking preschool children:

1. Is a measure of script vocabulary usage dialect neutral (i.e., unrelated to dialect density) with the potential to serve as a less-biased language screening measure for AAE-speaking preschoolers?

2. Does the script vocabulary produced within narratives by AAE-speaking preschoolers reliably distinguish children with lower and higher overall language skills?

• 42 AAE-speaking Head Start preschoolers (see Table 1) Mean age 4;3 (years;months), range of 3;4 – 5;3

	50.9 (5.8)
ulary	21.0 (7.7)
oring Scheme (NSS)	12.4 (4.1)
of Utterance in Words (MLU)	5.5 (1.3)
fferent Words (NDW)	57.5 (22.8)
ty Measure (DDM)	0.073 (0.036)
ure Vocabulary Test-Third T-III)	89.7 (10.3)
ation of Language s Preschool – 2ª (CELF P-2)	87.1 (9.4)

Each participant completed a narrative story retell using the wordless picture book, Frog Where Are You? (Mayer,

To elicit narrative retells investigators followed the protocol and script provided on the Systematic Analysis of Language Transcripts (SALT) Software Website www.saltsoftware.com).

Narratives were transcribed using SALT.

The Script Vocabulary score is the number of script

vocabulary words the child produced in his/her story at least once (out of 84).

• The Dialect Density Measure is the ratio of AAE features divided by the total number of words.

Investigators also administered two standardized

measures of language to each child (see Table 1).

Results

I. Script Vocabulary: dialect neutral measure?

- sample measurements.
- is a dialect neutral measure.

2. Script Vocabulary: does it distinguish children with lower and higher overall language skills?

Table 2 Correlations between Carint Vasabulan, and

other language measures								
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
1. Script Vocabular y	-							
2. NSS	.811**	-						
3. MLU	.836**	.721**	-					
4. NDW	.887**	.714**	.774**	-				
5. DDM	264	314*	336*	290	-			
6. PPVT- III	.473**	.645**	.410**	.382*	346*	-		
7. CELF P-2 p ≤ .05; **p ≤ .0	.396** 01	.457**	.511**	.343*	258	.473**		



• In this study, there is a strong relationship between script vocabulary and other language

• There is a moderate relationship between script vocabulary and PPVT and a low relationship between script vocabulary and CELF P-2. • There is no relationship between script

vocabulary and dialect density suggesting that it

• 8 out of the 42 children were considered at risk based on scores of 85 and below on both standardized tests (PPVT-III and CELF P-2). • This study showed that with a cutoff score of 14 for the script vocabulary measure, 5 out of those 8 children would be correctly identified as at risk (63% sensitivity) and 28 children would be correctly identified as having typical language skills (82% specificity). Overall classification accuracy was 33 of 42, or 79%.

Discussion

- Results of the current study suggest that script vocabulary may have the potential as a timeefficient screener of language, considering that it is dialectneutral and demonstrates strong relationships with other language sample measures and moderate relationships with standardized measures.
- The Script Vocabulary measure performed well in identifying children with typical skills (i.e., specificity) and in overall classification of children. Given that identification of children at risk (i.e., sensitivity) was lower than recommended levels (Plante & Vance, 1994), script vocabulary should be used in conjunction with other measures, not as a stand-alone screener.
- Further research will be needed to examine how sensitivity of this screener could be increased.

References

Bland-Stewart, L. M. (2005). Difference or Deficit in Speakers of African American English? What Every Clinician Should Know. and Do. The ASHA leader, 10(6), 6-31.

Hamilton, M-B. (2020, January/February). An informed lens on African American English. The ASHA Leader, 25(1), 46-53.

Hendriks, A., & Adlof, S. (2018). Language assessment with children who speak nonmainstream dialects: Examining the effects of scoring modifications in norm-referenced assessment Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 48(3), 168-182.

Johnson, V., & Koonce, N. (2018). Language sampling considerations for AAE speakers: A patterns- and systems-based approach. Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups SIG 1, 3(Part 1), 36-42.

Latimer-Hearn, D. (2020, January/February). Don't get it twisted hear my voice. The ASHA Leader, 25(1), 54-59.

Mayer, M. (1969). Frog, where are you? New York: Dial Books for Young Readers.

Mills, M. T., Mahurin-Smith, J., & Steele, S. C. (2017). Does rare vocabulary use distinguish giftedness from typical development? A study of school-age African American narrators. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 26(2), 511-523.

Plante, E., & Vance, R. (1994). Selection of preschool language tests: A data-based approach. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 25(1), 15-24.

